S.L.No-13C1) Violation Letter By Regd Post/ AD

भारत सरकार /Government of India खान मंत्रालय/ Ministry of Mines

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो/ Indian Bureau of Mines

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय/ Office of the Regional Controller of Mines

Phone No.: (0832) - 2741757 Fax No. : (0832) –2741758 E-Mail

: ro.goa@ibm.gov.in

Opp. R.T.O.'s Office, P.O. FATORDA - 403602,

Margao - GOA

KNT/BJP/LST-100/GOA

38KAR26080

Dated: 28/06/2016

0/1

To,

Shri. VENKATESH.S.PATIL (Mine Owner),

Muddapur Limestone Mines

Aanad Nagar, Near Ranna Circle,

Mudhol Taluka,

Karnataka - 587 313

Sub: Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 1988 in respect of Muddapur Limestone Mine (ML-2645) over an extent of 4.65 Hectares situated in Muddapur Village, Mudhol Taluk, Bagalkot District of Karnataka State.

Sir,

The following provision of Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 1988 was found violated during the inspection of your above mine on 07.06.2016 by the undersigned.

| 13 (1) | Mining operations in the lease area are not carried out as per the last approved Mining Scheme. The following deviation is observed during inspection, a) It was a proposed in para 1.i, to drill 4 Boreholes during the Scheme Period in the lease area, against which no exploration work has been taken up so far. b) As per Page-33 and plate no-3 of the approved Scheme of Mining, Pit dimension quantity and actually removed tonnage are not coinciding. It is mentioned in page-33, the dimension of pit as 150m X 53m X 11m, 143m X 82m X 8m & 50m X 40m X4m. During inspection in was noticed that actual size of the pit differs with that of the approved one. c) It was proposed in Para 2.C & Plate-6.A, to produce Limestone above 529m RL. But, on the day of inspection, it was observed, that the no operation were carried out at proposed RL. d) As per para 4.0 there is an approved dumping proposal for stacking the mineral and topsoil storage as shown in Plate no – 6A. During inspection it was noticed that Mineral has been dumped outside the lease area. e) In the approved mining scheme it was proposed to construct bund on safety zone (120m x 7.5m x3m) for proper waste dump & surface water management. On the day of inspection in was observed that no such work has been carried out in the mine. f) During Inspection it was noticed that a mining operations were going on without leaving 50m restricted zone of nalla on the Western side of the lease area. |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 23(E)(2) | A yearly report before 1 st July of every year setting forth the extent of protective and rehabilitation work carried out as envisaged in the approved progressive mine closure plan has not been submitted for last two years. |
| 27(4) | The Plans & Sections required under rule 28 have not been maintained up to date in every year. |
| 42(1)(b)(ii) | The mine is being operated without employing the part time or full time Mining Geologist of Mining Engineer required under the provision this rule. |

63

A Self-Appraisal Report to be submitted by owner of the mine under Rule 63 of MCDR, 1988 stating extent of implementation of Approved Mining Plan/ Scheme of Mining during the year 2014 - 2015 & 2015 - 2016 along with supporting plans & sections representative photographs in hard as well as soft copy.

- 2. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violation constitutes an offence, punishable under Rule 58 of MCDR, 1988.
- 3. The mining operations can be suspended under Rule 13(2), if compliance of rule 13(1) is not found satisfactory.
- 4. You are advised to rectify the violation immediately and intimate the position to this office with in a period of 45 (Forty Five) days from date of issue of this letter.

Yours faithfully,

(G.S.Kannan)

Junior Mining Geologist

Copy forwarded for information to: -

- 1. The Controller of Mines (SZ), Indian Bureau of Mines, Bangalore.
- 2. The Director, DMG, 49, Khanji Bhavan, Race Course Road, Bangalore- 560 001, Karnataka.

3. Mine File

(G.S.Kannan)

Junior Mining Geologist